

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,744	09/15/2003	Gin Wu	021218.0009US2	1532
34284	7590 07/23/200	7	EXAMINER	
Rutan & Tucker, LLP. Hani Z. Sayed			MACNEILL, ELIZABETH	
611 ANTON E SUITE 1400	BLVD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	COSTA MESA, CA 92626			
	•			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	Щ					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·		10/662,744	WU, GIN				
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Elizabeth R. MacNeill	3767				
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended per Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	A THE MAILING DA e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. maximum statutory period viod for reply will, by statute, ee months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A	ICATION. Treply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communicat	on(s) filed on 29 M	av 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .		action is non-final.	•				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)	is/are withdraved. ed. ted to.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected 10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that Replacement drawing sheet(s) 11) The oath or declaration is ob	is/are: a) acce any objection to the including the correct	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya ion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	• •			
	joolog to by the Ex	ammor. Note the attache					
2. Certified copies of the	one of: priority documents priority documents copies of the prior nternational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in a ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	l Stage			
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date			(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	·			

Application/Control Number: 10/662,744

Art Unit: 3767

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 29 May 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 14,15,17,18,22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by De Santis (US 5,560,373).

De Santis teaches a drill bit with a shaft (12), a lumen (13), and a notch (46). Fig 7. The shaft is disposed in the hub (Fig 1).

4. Claims 14,15,17, and 19-23are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shaw (US 5,261,818).

Shaw teaches a shaft (13) with a cutting surface (14), a lumen (18), with second openings (22) and an open notch (26). Shaw also teaches a method of drilling through bone and injecting a substance through needle (Summary of the invention).

Application/Control Number: 10/662,744 Page 3

Art Unit: 3767

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DeSantis.

DeSantis teaches the device as above but does not specify the distance of the distal tip to the proximal end of the notch. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to space the notch of DeSantis 0.10-0.125 inches because Applicant has not disclosed that specific distance provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to modify DeSantis to obtain the invention as specified in claim 16 because such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of DeSantis.

7. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaw. Shaw teaches the device as above but does not specify the distance of the distal tip to the proximal end of the notch. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to space the notch of Shaw 0.10-0.125 inches because Applicant has not disclosed that specific

Application/Control Number: 10/662,744

Art Unit: 3767

distance provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to modify Shaw to obtain the invention as specified in claim 16 because such a modification would have been considered a mere design consideration which fails to patentably distinguish over the prior art of Shaw.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth R. MacNeill whose telephone number is (571)-272-9970. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:30 M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3767

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ERM

Madley Nachley